UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,716	08/07/2003	Hiraku Murayama	1011350-000317	1956
	7590 02/26/201 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	LLOYD, EMILY M		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3736		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com offserv@bipc.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/635,716	MURAYAMA ET AL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
EMILY M. LLOYD	3736		

	EMILY M. LLOYD	3736					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth tter than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	ision thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	icalice				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally rei	ected claims					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)		colod oldimis.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 23,25-27,29,30 and 32-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-22,28 and 31.							
<u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8.	hoforo or on the data of filing a N	otice of Appeal will not	be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/Max Hindenburg/	Emily M Lloyd						
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736	Examiner Art Unit: 3736						

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to claim 23 would require additional consideration and search, especially with respect to "smaller than the cross-sectional area of the first end portion of the first wire"; the amendments to claim 23 would require additional consideration and search with regards to the limitations of claims 25-27, 29 and 30, as the new limitations were not previously considered with these dependent claims; the amendments to claim 35 would require additional consideration and search as the limitations of claim 33 previously depended on independent claim 23 and not independent claim 35; and the amendments to claim 35 would require additional consideration and search with regards to the limitations of claim 34, as the new limitations were not previously considered with this dependent claim.